Previous references.
Sec 1-3-4 5. B & Un Same File
Orso. 142-03
191
S R F 27-94.
Sec 1-27-mB-Feb 03. Sep Rev
Later references.
Sec 12 & 13. B. F No 142-03.

File No. 142-03
Serial Nos. 2, 6—11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2, 6-11

Major **Part B.** for May 04

SUBJECT

Refund bill due to the Kayamgullada of muddenelakere village on account of toddy Revenue.

Lr dated 8 Nov 03

2. From. The Excise Comm.
Dy No EL 46-Ex-18. Lr No 7965 of 30.6.03, 550
Submits for sanction a bill for Rs 125 4
on account of toddy Revenue due to the
Petn Krishna Rao

6. To the Excise Comm. No 175 of 28-8-03
Ex P 11-01
Requests to forward his remarks on certain
points in connection with the settlement
of toddy Revenue due to the Inamdars

G. P. S. 88

GPS—4,000—4-7-11

- From Krishna Rao Petr
Petn No 617 Ex 160 of 7.7.03.
Requests to be granted Rs 2000 pending
disposal of his Case refd to in fol 1
or to advance Rs 500 as a loan on
the Security of his Inam village.

8. From - Do - Do.
Petn No 2572 Ex 468 of 16.5.04
Reminds of his previous petn,

9. From. The Private Secy to His Highness
Petn No 599. & No 3510 of 13.5.04
Transfers the above petn to the Secy
to the Govt of his Highness the Maharaja
with a request to be informed when
the Case will be disposed of—

10 From. The Excise Comm
Dy No Ex 413 of 20.4.04. & No 7762.
Submits a revised refund bill 305-
with refce to fol 6,

11 To The Excise Comm
The Comptroller
No 770-1 of 26.5.04
Ex F 23.95—
Sanctions the bill refd to above

Indorsed
B H anne enclo.

1901

SECTION SR.

FILE No. 142-03.

SERIAL No.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS)

(Full Office Note.)

92-95-6

‡ Subject. Excise Commissioner's No. 7965-550, of 30th June 03.

Refund bill for Rs 1254-10-0. being the balance of the Toddy Revenue due to the Inamdar of Huddaravanalakere village, Maddagiri Taluk, Tumkur District.

142-03.

* Note.

1. The Excise Commissioner submits a Refund Bill for Rs 1254-10-0 towards the full amount of Excise Revenue payable to the Inam of Huddaravanalakere village, Maddagiri Taluk.

2. This is the first Refund Bill submitted for so large amount.

3. The right of the Inam to Toddy Revenue has already been recognised under Rules 2, 3, 5, 6 and 12 of Govt. Orde. No 2074-83 / R.T. 166-92, Dated 2nd August 1901. (G.O. No 335-7 / 4723-95 of 16.2.03)

4. The question for decision is whether the Bill is for the correct amount due on account of Excise Revenue; and whether the method of calculation is right.

Flag A.

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

5. As the Inam Kulkarni has date trees, no Grove Tarun Rent is due to it; but on the contrary, under Rule 3 a deduction @ at the rate of four annas for each tree tapped for it, shall must be made out of the shah Tarun Rent payable to it: The number of trees tapped between the years 1892-93 and 96 97 (both inclusive) is estimated in the Bill on the basis of the number of trees tapped in 97-98 which are correctly known. There being no account whether how many trees were tapped under the Tarunip System, this mode of calculation has probably been adopted. But instead of taking the figures of only one year, would it not be better to take the yearly average from the number tapped from 97-98 to 1900 1901? I think so; and this is the first point requiring the decision of Govt. in this case.

I agree

6. The next question is how the amount calculated as above has to be credited.

Under Rule 3, if the fees taken belong to Govt, the amount thus deducted ~~shall~~ should be credited to Govt.; if they belong to another alienated village, it shall be paid to the inspector of such village, the local cess thereon being credited to Govt".

In this ~~Excise Comm~~ case the Excise Commr proposes that the whole may be credited to Govt.; but the Revised Bill shows that the fees of Govt. of alienated villages were taken for the sheep in question. It is not known how many fees belonging to Govt. & to alienated villages were so taken; and the probability is that it is difficult to know them. In these circumstances what order can be proposed has to be decided. But before deciding this point it is well to ask the Excise Commr if he cannot furnish us with the necessary details; and, if not, why.

7. The third point on which the Excise Commissioner's remarks are needed, is the proviso of Rule 6

Mark C in the bill

in the Bill towards Grove Farm rent for 94-95. There having been no fees in the Inam, how is this claim admissible under Rules 2 & 3?

8. The fourth point relates to the deduction made in the bill on account of 67 fees (Velempuja & Gaff) having been fetched for the Inam sheep in 99-900. The amount deducted is 1/4th of 67 or Rs 16-12-0 (at a shanbhee) and R1-0-9, local cess thereon. Why a reason for this deduction should be made has not been stated. It cannot be towards the rent due to Govt, as the system of the rent bore effect only from 1st July 1901. Under Rule 12 the amount deducted is also payable to the Inam. The Executive Commissioner's remarks may be invited on this point also.

9. The fifth point is about the calculation of Establishment charges on the Gross Revenue,

7

the necessary corrections and alterat
has been ordd by the Excise Comms
with the Deputy Comms' letter, con-
taining the observations regarding
the several items in the bill.

Draft, sanctioning the bill, put up.

MT
7/5.

As a result of the revision,
the amount due to the Inland
Revenue has not been doubled, only
but the increase is mostly due
to the fact that the revised bill
includes the amounts due
for the years 1901-02 & 1902-03
whereas the first bill
was for the amount due up to
1900-01

The draft may be signed.

1339
RD 16.5.04
7
To Secy | Submitted to the Treasury through the 1st Lord 14/5/04 | 12/5/04

The calculation does
to have been correctly
made - Draft attached

16/5/04

16/5/04

17766
17.5.04.

In accordance with the above instructions, Proceedings No 770-1 Ex. 7.23-9s & 26-5-04 was issued.

Petition No 2666 & 30/5/04 from Krishna Row, Inamdar of Kundwala Kasa, praying for early issue of orders to the Deputy Commr to pay him the amount sanctioned ~~to petitioner~~ to the said.

Note

The refund bill having already been sanctioned & forwarded to the Comptroller, the Petitioner may be informed ~~accordingly~~ of the fact.

Draft endorsement put-up.

Petition No 2500 & 6-5-04 ~~to the Petn. was enclosed~~ referred was linked with No 2572 & 16-5-04, which was submitted to the Dewan on 18-5-04 for report to the Private Secy to H.H. the Maharaja as to what steps had been taken towards the disposal of the Inamdar's claim. The whole file was pending with the Dewan at the time.

Submitted to the Secy
MG 2-6-04

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(Full Office Note.)

‡ **Subject.**

Petn recd no 2572 of 18.4.04 / 16.5 .04, addressed to H. H. the Maharaja, &
Another petn no 2500 of 8/11.5.04, from Krishna Rao, Amildar of Muddenenalakere village, Maddagiri Tk.

* **Note.**

The revised refund bill in favour of the Amildar of Muddenenalakere village was received on the 29th April 1904. The file with the draft order sanctioning the refund bill was submitted to the Dewan on the 17th May 1904.

Sen & D.C.O.
18/5.

18/5/04

‡ 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

* 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

10

Submitted to His Highness.

This case has been disposed of, and the re-

A fund bill in favor of the Inamdar sanctioned.

21.V.04.

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Memorial of Krishna Rao, Jodidar Muddanarla-kere, village, Madagiri Taluk, praying for early payment of certain sums due on account of toddy revenue of his Inam village.

Order.

~~KRM~~
31/5/04

Endorsement as per margin
2.VI.04

6/6/04

7-6-04

Flag Z.

Subd
An endorsement to the same effect was issued on 4/6/04 in reply to another petition from the same party. May this be filed?
To be filed the Secy.
7/6/04
7/6/04

Ex 18 & R 10/7/03.





SECTION S.R.

FILE NO. 142-03

SERIAL NO. 2

From P. N. Narayana Esquire B.A.

Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To N. V. Narajundayya Esq. Asst. to the Secretary to the Govt. of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore General and Revenue Depts.

Dated 30th June 1903

Despatched

Received

General No. 7965

No. 550

Enclosure No. 1

S. R 166-92 Serial V

Referring to Government Proceedings no. 740-1 R.F. 166-92, dated 24th June 1903, submits for sanction a bill for Rs 1254 on account of the Toddy revenue due to the Inamdar of the Muddaneralakere village in the Maddagiri taluk up to the end of the year 1900-01.

Ex Cash
R
2.7.03

Rec'd 1/7/03

Ordered
Issue
2.7.03

No 7965/550 Genl/ Excise Commissioner's Office.

Dated, Bangalore 30th June 1903

From

P. N. Krishnaiya Esquire B.A.,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

A. V. Narjundayya Esquire B.A. Bil.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Referring to Government Proceedings No 740-1/RF 166-92, dated 26th June 1903, I have the honor to submit herewith for sanction a bill for Rs 1254 on account of the Toddy revenue due to the Inamdar of the Inudda Neralakere village in the Maddigiri taluk up to the end of the year 1900-01, as the amount due to the Inamdar is not correctly mentioned in the Calendar submitted to Government in the above case.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

P. Krishnaiya

Excise Commissioner

in Mysore.

alienated village, it shall be paid to the proprietor of such village, the local Cess thereon being credited to Govt."

In the present case, it is proposed that the whole amount may be credited to Govt, while the Refund Bill shows that the trees of Govt and of alienated villages were tapped for the shop in question. It is not known how many trees belonging to Govt and to alienated villages were so tapped. It is requested that the necessary details be furnished.

iv The insertion of Rs 6 in the Bill towards Grove Farm rent for 1894-95 calls for explanation; there having been no trees in the Inam village.

v The deduction made in the bill on account of 67 trees (belonging to Govt) having been tapped for the Inam shop in 1899-00 also needs explanation.

He

The amount deducted is 1/4 of 67 or Rs 16.12.a (at 4 as per tree" and Re 1-0-9 local cess thereon. The reasons for such deduction have not been stated. It cannot be towards tree rent due to Govt as the system of tree rent took effect only from 1st July 1901. Under Rule 12 the amount deducted is also payable to the Ryot.

VI. The Calculation of Establishment charges has been made on the gross Revenue, while it should have been on the net Revenue only, cess and other items being first subjected to deduction

VII. As regards the tree-tax for the year 97-98, the Govt decided that the claim to it must be waived for the reasons mentioned in their order no 97-8 Dt 21.7.02
Ex 23-98

7

But under Rule 6 and Rule 3, should not some deductions be made out of the amount of Rs 480 (shop rent) towards trie-rent (at 4 annas per tree) payable for the trees of alienated village. Said to have been lapped in 1897-98?

2. The Excise Commissioner is requested to forward his remarks on the points raised in the foregoing paragraphs of this letter.

.04021
23.VIII.03

Have etc

24/8/3
for Secretary

Reference

19-9-03

494 of 1903 re Krishna Rao Inamdar

31/8/03 re Land Revenue

23/9/03

Transferred to the Excise Branch in continuation.

23-9-03

Ex. 100
26.9.03

1903-04

No. 617

Name of Petitioner Krishna Rao Inamdar

Village Muddanerkeri

Taluk Haddagiri

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi

Date of Receipt 7-9-03

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil.

SECTION 80.

FILE No. 162-03

SERIAL No. 7

ABSTRACT

With reference to Govt. endt. No. 153 of 18-8-03 informing ~~him~~ the petr. that his case about the payment of Toddy income on his Inam village would be soon disposed of, the petr. prays that, pending disposal of the case, he may be granted a loan of Rs. 2000/- to meet the urgent demands of his creditors, or that he

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

may be advanced a loan
of Rs. 5000/ and odd to
liquidate his entire debt,
on the security of his
Inam village.

Ayah.

19/9/10

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 617R
DATE 7-9-1903

9

(I) ...

(II) ...

(III) ...

E 448 of 18th May 1904.

✓

1904

No. 2572

Name of Petitioner Krishna Rao Jodidar

Village Muddaharlay

Taluk Muddapore

District Tumkur

Date of Arzi 18/4/04

Date of Receipt 16/5/04

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure

Addressed to H. H. the Maharaja

ABSTRACT

Reminder to his previous 2 applications praying for payment of Tody Farm

Prays for early orders to pay the Same.

SECTION 20

FILE NO. 82 [illegible]

SERIAL NO. 8

Copy of No 3516 dt 15/5/04 addressed transferring the petition to the Secretary to the Board Mysore for disposal in continuation of this office No 387 of 24/8/04 with a request to be informed when the case will be disposed of

for C. Macnaghten
Private Secretary

Reference

+ +

16.5.04

3500 of 1903 ವರ್ಷದ ಪತ್ರ ಮತ್ತು
3-5-04 ರಂದು ಪತ್ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ
ಪತ್ರ
7/5/04

Transferred to the Excise Branch in continuation.

17/5/04

17-5-04

Recd 16/5/04.

16/5/04

MGCPB—5,000—10-11-02

Received from the Private Secretary to H.H. with his letter No. 35-108/13-5 dated 16-5-04 in continuation of the reference dated 16-5-04

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
1646
MAY 1904

Mysore Government
General and Revenue Secretariat
Petition Department
Reg'd No. 2572
Date 16-5-1904

Ex 4/3 of 29/4/04.

1904

From P. Parnaya Esqr. B.A
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To L. Anantaswamy Rao Esqr. B.A
Offg. Secretary to the Govt. of His
Highness the Maharajah of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 18th April 1904.

Despatched 20th April 1904.

Received

General No. 7762

No. 305

Enclosure No. 2

With reference to
Secretary's letter No. 175
Ex. B. 11-01
dated 27th August 1903.

Submits a revised
Refund Bill in favor of
the Kayamguttadar of
Muddenérlakére Haddageri
Taluk on account of Zoddy
Revenue due to him.

I Recd 28/4

25



Recd. 29/4/04

Excise Commissioner's Office.

Bangalore 18th April 1904.

No: 7762/305 Enc. 2.

From

P. N. Purnaiya Esquire B.A

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To.

L. Anantaswamy Rao Esquire B.A

Offg Secretary to the Govt of His

Highness the Maharajah of Mysore

General & Revenue Departments

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 175 Ex. D. 11-01 dated 27th August 1903 I have the honor to submit herewith a revised Refund Bill in favor of the Kayemguttadar of Muddenerlakare Haddagiri Taluk on account of Toddy Revenue due to him.

2. The Tumkur Deputy Commissioner's No. 956 dated 5th ultimo is enclosed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

P. N. Purnaiya

For Excise Commissioner

Encl. in Mysore.

9/c No. 6311

No 956

Pulattadi office

138

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur District
Dated Tumkur the 7th March 1904

OFFICE OF THE EXCISE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE

From K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esqr.

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

P. N. Krishniah Esqr B.A.
Excise Commissioner in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 5879/9° dated 19th ultimo, making certain observations in the Refund Bill for the amount payable to the Kayemguttadar of Hudda near Lakere Village in the Maddagiri Taluk, I have the honor to forward herewith a Revised Bill for the amount due to the said Kayemguttadar and to state as follows:-

1. The number of trees tapped during the year 1897-98 was 480, and this figure is shown in the statement now forwarded.

Government 379
Occupied 101

2. As it is reported that the renter made his own arrangements with the owners as regards the trees tapped on unalienated occupied lands, no deduction is made

Government of the Tree in Column (8&3)
of the accompanying Statement.

3. With reference to para 3 of your docket, I beg to add the following in reply to the several points raised in the Secretary's letter No. 175 dated 28th August last.

I. As observed in para (1) of the Secretary's letter, deductions have been made in the enclosed Statement under Rule 3 for the Government Trees tapped for the Kayangutta Estate during 1892-93 to 1897-98 inclusive.

II. With reference to para (II) of the letter, the No. of trees tapped for the Estate in question since 1892-93 have been ascertained and their details have been duly shown in the Statement against each of the years 1892-93 to 1902-03.

III. The details of the Localities in which the tapped trees were situated have been entered in the Statement and deductions in respect of such trees have been otherwise as per Rule 3.

IV. In 1895-96 (not 1894-95 as

mentioned in the Secretary's letter), a Government grove was set apart for the use of the Bishop for a rental of Rs 6/-, the sum alluded to in para IV of the Secretary's letter.

V. Adverting to the observations contained in para V of the Secretary's letter, necessary corrections have been made in the bill on account of 67 Government trees tapped during 1897-98 with reference to Rule 12.

VI. As pointed out in para VI of the Secretary's letter, the Establishment charges have been now calculated on the net revenue only.

VII The necessary deductions have been noted for 1897-98 as well as for 1898-99 on account of trees of Jodies Gaddatimmana halli of the Maddagiri Taluk in accordance with para 7 of the Secretary's letter.

4. The enclosures received with your docket as well as memo no 6599 dated 166
16th ultimo are herewith returned.

Chancellor Honorable,

Sir,

Upon Notification of your Servant

Samuel Hunt

per Deputy Commissioner

L.S.

26 29

Mysore Govt. Gen. & Rev. Secretariat,
Excise Branch.

SECTION: 802.
File No. (if any) and its date: 142-03
Sr. No. 12

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore:

Read: Correspondence ending with Letter no 7762/305 dy 18/20/4/04 from the Excise Commr. in Mysore, submitting a refund bill for Rs 2588-6-0, being the balance of the amount of toddy revenue payable ~~due~~ to the ~~Kayamgouttadar~~ Inamdar of Kuddenerakere village, Maddur Tk, up to the end of the year 1902-03, under G.O. no. 335-7/Ex.7.23-95 dy 16/2/03.

Order:

no 770-1/Ex.7.23-95 dy 26/5/04.

The refund bill for Rs 2588-6-0 received with the ~~letter read~~ above

Ll 16/5/04

Flag A.

Ll 21.5.04

Ll 25/5/04

is sanctioned and forwarded to the Comptroller Mysore Govt for audit.

25/5/04

Offg Secretary

12/5/04

The Excise Commr in Mysore

The Comptroller Mysore Govt;

with the bill.